

**To:** Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]  
**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tue 12/9/2014 12:05:53 AM  
**Subject:** FW: Updated OR CZARA Management Briefing Handout for EPA HQ

Jayne, I'm thinking we should forward our draft briefing to Don. It'll give him tomorrow AM to work in our changes. I'm not planning to look over his write-up, but would like to give him ours so he has plenty of time. Make sense? Or maybe after you comment?

**From:** Waye, Don  
**Sent:** Monday, December 08, 2014 2:28 PM  
**To:** Psyk, Christine; Hall, Lynda; Joelle Gore (joelle.gore@noaa.gov); Sweeney, Stephen; jeff.dillen@noaa.gov; Byrne, Jennifer; Schroer, Lee; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Croxton, Dave; Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan  
**Subject:** Updated OR CZARA Management Briefing Handout for EPA HQ

All,

I know that various individuals may be putting their imprint on this briefing handout and that various conversations are happening with subgroups of individuals. In my role to deliver final briefing materials to Ken Kopocis' office by the deadline needed for our Thursday briefing (hard copies delivered by 3 PM Wednesday), I have taken Christine's/Lynda's version from Friday, added pros and cons to the options based on conversations with our legal team, and strove to address remaining comments.

If anyone has any changes to make for the purposes of HQ's briefing with Ken Kopocis (...as opposed to the follow-up briefing with the RA on the same day), it would be helpful to me if you could work from the clean version that is attached, and to get any edits to me by COB tomorrow. Tomorrow I will focus on getting the briefing content into the specific format that Ken Kopocis' office has prescribed.

Thanks!

Don Waye

U.S. EPA - Nonpoint Source Control Branch  
Phone: 202-566-1170

**From:** Psyk, Christine  
**Sent:** Friday, December 05, 2014 2:41 PM  
**To:** Hall, Lynda; Joelle Gore ([joelle.gore@noaa.gov](mailto:joelle.gore@noaa.gov)); Waye, Don; Sweeney, Stephen; [jeff.dillen@noaa.gov](mailto:jeff.dillen@noaa.gov); Byrne, Jennifer; Schroer, Lee; [allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov); Carlin, Jayne; Croxton, Dave; Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan  
**Subject:** RE: OR CZARA decision management briefing 12-5 hall

**Pre-Decisional/Attorney-Client Privileged/Not for Public Disclosure**

I used Lynda's edited version to make some additional edits to the briefing paper for Ken, specifically reworking Options 2. Please note that from R10's end, staff are still refining a more focused environmental consequences briefing piece for the RA's briefing. The purpose is to hone in on 1) current forestry conditions, 2) why current forestry conditions aren't sufficient, and 3) identify the minimum additional delta that will get state over the bar to approval.

Christine Psyk, Associate Director

EPA Region 10, OWW

206-553-1906

[Psyk.christine@epa.gov](mailto:Psyk.christine@epa.gov)

**From:** Hall, Lynda  
**Sent:** Friday, December 05, 2014 9:25 AM  
**To:** Psyk, Christine; Joelle Gore ([joelle.gore@noaa.gov](mailto:joelle.gore@noaa.gov)); Waye, Don; Sweeney, Stephen; [jeff.dillen@noaa.gov](mailto:jeff.dillen@noaa.gov); Byrne, Jennifer; Schroer, Lee; [allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov); Carlin, Jayne  
**Subject:** OR CZARA decision management briefing 12-5 hall  
**Importance:** High

All –

There are a number of moving pieces on the various management briefings right now and this is one of them. Following the briefing for Benita I have made some light edits to the briefing paper itself and added many comments to the options, which still need to be fully fleshed out.

Joelle, Christine and I spoke this morning. As a result of that conversation

**Ex. 5 - Attorney Client**

## **Ex. 5 - Attorney Client**

**All these options need the benefit of Counsel's perspectives on legal risk and implications for future settlement agreements.**

Meanwhile Region 10 is going to continue to flesh out the rationales and pro/cons of all the Option 2s. Region 10 will also be adding more of an on-the-ground perspective to the paper that gives clearer picture of the environmental costs of OR's current approach and benefits to be gained from improved forestry management.

Let me know if anything in the attached isn't clear.

Thanks,

Lynda